State of South Carolina ) ) County of Richland ) In Re: PSD Construction Transcript ) Permit for Scout Motors, ) Inc., A Delaware of Corporation - Blythewood Plant Public Hearing Date: October 12, 2023 Time: 6:01 p.m. Location: Doko Manor, 100 Alvina Hagood Circle, Blythewood, South Carolina. Reported by Miranda Brown

## APPEARANCES DHEC officials present: Monica Taylor, Hearing Officer Amanda Cruley Public Speakers: Charles Bayne Roger Hovis Also Present: Julie Taradash Breanna Sharper



ſ

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	HEARING OFFICER TAYLOR: Good evening. The time is now
3	6 p.m., on Thursday, October 12, 2023, and this is
4	a hearing regarding the draft PSD Construction
5	Permit for Scout Motors, Inc., A Delaware
6	Corporation - Blythewood Plant, better known as
7	"Scout Motors," is now called to order. For the
8	record, this facility's proposed location is at
9	437 Blythewood Road in Blythewood, South Carolina.
10	My name is Monica Taylor. I will serve as the
11	hearing officer tonight. On behalf of the
12	Department of Health and Environmental Control, I
13	welcome you and thank you for taking the time to
14	come here tonight.
15	Before I go over how we will proceed, there
16	are just a few items I would like to address.
17	First, I would ask that you please silence your
18	phones or any devices so you do not disturb this
19	hearing. Secondly, please familiarize yourself
20	with the exits in case of an emergency. And,
21	third, if you have not already done so, I encourage
22	you to sign the attendance sheet in the in the
23	front of the building. We have asked that you sign
24	in on your sheets to make sure that you can be
25	notified for with future information regarding

1 the proposed facility.

2 I would also like to point out our court 3 reporters, who are sitting to the right of me. 4 They will record the comments that you make here 5 tonight and prepare a written transcript. The transcript will serve as an official record of the 6 7 public hearing. If at some later time you are 8 interested in reviewing the transcript, it will be 9 posted on the DHEC Scout Motors web page.

The permitting process at DHEC begins when the 10 11 company applies for one or more environmental 12 permit. During -- during the process, the company must show that -- that its proposed operation can 13 comply with state and federal regulations. 14 These 15 regulations have been written to ensure that human 16 health and the environment are protected. DHEC's 17 role is to write, and, if issued, enforce permits that meet these requirements. 18

You should know that a decision to grant or deny the permit has not yet been made and will not be made here tonight. The purpose of this hearing is for you to provide comments to be considered before a final decision is made. You also have a role in DHEC's permitting process. That's what brings us here tonight: to receive your comments



1	formally for our records. Your comments are an
2	are important part of our permitting process. The
3	information you share will be reviewed and may
4	provide DHEC staff with additional things to
5	consider when making a final decision. Comments
6	and concerns given here tonight, as well as those
7	submitted in writing by the end of the public
8	comment period, are welcomed and encouraged. If you
9	choose not to speak tonight, you may submit a
10	written comment to DHEC by October 18th.
11	So that this hearing moves forward in an
12	efficient and timely manner, the following
13	guidelines have been established: There will be no
14	questions or answers during our comment period.
15	All comments will be made from the microphone up
16	front when you are called. So that everyone has an
17	opportunity to speak, the comments should be no
18	longer than five minutes; time may not be
19	transferred to other speakers. Our staff members
20	on the front row will remind you when you have one
21	minute and again when you have 30 seconds
22	remaining. If you are not able to present your
23	comments within the five minutes or do not wish to
24	speak at this public hearing, please remember that
25	you may submit a written comment to DHEC by October



[	
1	18th, and they will be entered into the record just
2	as comments that are spoken here tonight.
3	Finally, we recognize that there are strong
4	emotions associated with this project. Each
5	person's input is important to us. I ask that you
6	be respectful of those presenting information or
7	making comments and follow the time limits that you
8	have been given. Asking the audience, DHEC staff,
9	or Scout Motors representative to respond to any
10	comments or questions is not appropriate for this
11	public hearing. You should also know that approval
12	or denial of the permit will not be based upon a
13	vote or how many people say the same thing here
14	tonight.
15	Should any comments or actions begin to move
16	away from the intent of this hearing, I may ask the
17	speaker to limit their statement and be seated.
18	While DHEC understands that there are various
19	concerns and focus of this hearing is on the
20	technical merit of the draft air permit.
21	This hearing will proceed in the following
22	order. First, Amanda Cruley will give a summary of
23	the draft permit. Secondly, I will randomly call
24	on those who have signed in to speak. If you have
25	not signed in and you do wish to speak, you could

1	be called upon when we have called upon all of the
2	signed-in speakers. If time permits, anyone who
3	has not originally signed in has that choice to
4	speak.
5	We'll go ahead and get started with the
6	reading of the summary of the project. Amanda.
7	MS. CRULEY: Scout Motors has applied to the Department
8	for a Prevention of Significant Deterioration, or
9	PSD, air construction permit to construct and
10	operate a new automobile stamping and assembly
11	plant. A preliminary determination draft
12	construction permit and draft statement of basis
13	have been written outlining this proposed project
14	and applicable regulations. In addition to other
15	state and federal air quality regulations, the
16	draft permit is subject to review under SC DHEC
17	Regulation 61-62.5, Standard Number 7, Prevention
18	of Significant Deterioration, or PSD.
19	The permit includes limitations and/or
20	requirements determined through a Best Available
21	Control Technology review for particulate matter,
22	particulate matter less than 10 microns,
23	particulate matter less than 2.5 microns, oxides of
24	nitrogen, volatile organic compounds, and
25	greenhouse gases. Additionally, the permit

1includes a federally enforceable facility-wide2limit of less than 100 tons per year of carbon3monoxide, to not be a major source of carbon4monoxide under PSD review. Under these5regulations, a facility must demonstrate that its6proposed construction project will not7significantly deteriorate the air quality in its8region before a permit can be issued.9Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the10release of emissions from this facility will not11cause or contribute to an exceedance of the12National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the13NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the25federal land managers, the chief executives of	r		
3monoxide, to not be a major source of carbon4monoxide under PSD review. Under these5regulations, a facility must demonstrate that its6proposed construction project will not7significantly deteriorate the air quality in its8region before a permit can be issued.9Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the10release of emissions from this facility will not11cause or contribute to an exceedance of the12National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the13NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		1	includes a federally enforceable facility-wide
<ul> <li>monoxide under PSD review. Under these</li> <li>regulations, a facility must demonstrate that its</li> <li>proposed construction project will not</li> <li>significantly deteriorate the air quality in its</li> <li>region before a permit can be issued.</li> <li>Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the</li> <li>release of emissions from this facility will not</li> <li>cause or contribute to an exceedance of the</li> <li>NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD</li> <li>increment consumption from cumulative impact</li> <li>monitoring, including the proposed project, are</li> <li>predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour</li> <li>standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.</li> <li>The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO2</li> <li>increment consumption are is predicted to be 42</li> <li>percent of the annual standard. There will be no</li> <li>Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment</li> <li>consumption resulting from this proposed project.</li> <li>The draft permit has not yet been approved and</li> <li>is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the</li> </ul>		2	limit of less than 100 tons per year of carbon
5regulations, a facility must demonstrate that its6proposed construction project will not7significantly deteriorate the air quality in its8region before a permit can be issued.9Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the10release of emissions from this facility will not11cause or contribute to an exceedance of the12National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the13NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		3	monoxide, to not be a major source of carbon
6 proposed construction project will not 7 significantly deteriorate the air quality in its 8 region before a permit can be issued. 9 Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the 10 release of emissions from this facility will not 11 cause or contribute to an exceedance of the 12 National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the 13 NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD 14 increment consumption from cumulative impact 15 monitoring, including the proposed project, are 16 predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour 17 standard and 30 percent of the annual standard. 18 The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO2 19 increment consumption are is predicted to be 42 20 percent of the annual standard. There will be no 21 Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment 22 consumption resulting from this proposed project. 23 The draft permit has not yet been approved and 24 is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		4	monoxide under PSD review. Under these
<ul> <li>significantly deteriorate the air quality in its</li> <li>region before a permit can be issued.</li> <li>Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the</li> <li>release of emissions from this facility will not</li> <li>cause or contribute to an exceedance of the</li> <li>National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the</li> <li>NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD</li> <li>increment consumption from cumulative impact</li> <li>monitoring, including the proposed project, are</li> <li>predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour</li> <li>standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.</li> <li>The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO2</li> <li>increment consumption are is predicted to be 42</li> <li>percent of the annual standard. There will be no</li> <li>Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment</li> <li>consumption resulting from this proposed project.</li> <li>The draft permit has not yet been approved and</li> <li>is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the</li> </ul>		5	regulations, a facility must demonstrate that its
8region before a permit can be issued.9Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the10release of emissions from this facility will not11cause or contribute to an exceedance of the12National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the13NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		6	proposed construction project will not
9Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the10release of emissions from this facility will not11cause or contribute to an exceedance of the12National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the13NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		7	significantly deteriorate the air quality in its
<ul> <li>release of emissions from this facility will not</li> <li>cause or contribute to an exceedance of the</li> <li>National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the</li> <li>NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD</li> <li>increment consumption from cumulative impact</li> <li>monitoring, including the proposed project, are</li> <li>predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour</li> <li>standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.</li> <li>The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO2</li> <li>increment consumption are is predicted to be 42</li> <li>percent of the annual standard. There will be no</li> <li>Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment</li> <li>consumption resulting from this proposed project.</li> <li>The draft permit has not yet been approved and</li> <li>is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the</li> </ul>		8	region before a permit can be issued.
11cause or contribute to an exceedance of the12National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the13NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		9	Air dispersion modeling has indicated that the
12National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the13NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		10	release of emissions from this facility will not
13NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		11	cause or contribute to an exceedance of the
14increment consumption from cumulative impact15monitoring, including the proposed project, are16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		12	National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or the
monitoring, including the proposed project, are predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour standard and 30 percent of the annual standard. The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO2 increment consumption are is predicted to be 42 percent of the annual standard. There will be no Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment consumption resulting from this proposed project. The draft permit has not yet been approved and is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		13	NAAQS. The maximum degrees of Class II PSD
16predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		14	increment consumption from cumulative impact
17standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		15	monitoring, including the proposed project, are
18The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO219increment consumption are is predicted to be 4220percent of the annual standard. There will be no21Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment22consumption resulting from this proposed project.23The draft permit has not yet been approved and24is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		16	predicted to be 54 percent of the PM2.5 24-hour
19 increment consumption are is predicted to be 42 20 percent of the annual standard. There will be no 21 Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment 22 consumption resulting from this proposed project. 23 The draft permit has not yet been approved and 24 is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		17	standard and 30 percent of the annual standard.
20 percent of the annual standard. There will be no 21 Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment 22 consumption resulting from this proposed project. 23 The draft permit has not yet been approved and 24 is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		18	The maximum degree of the Class II PSD NO2
21 Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment 22 consumption resulting from this proposed project. 23 The draft permit has not yet been approved and 24 is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		19	increment consumption are is predicted to be 42
22 consumption resulting from this proposed project. 23 The draft permit has not yet been approved and 24 is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		20	percent of the annual standard. There will be no
The draft permit has not yet been approved and is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		21	Class I Areas impacted and no degree of increment
24 is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the		22	consumption resulting from this proposed project.
		23	The draft permit has not yet been approved and
25 federal land managers, the chief executives of		24	is open to comment from the public, the US EPA, the
		25	federal land managers, the chief executives of

1	Richland County, the City of Blythewood, and the
2	Central Midlands Regional Council of Government.
3	HEARING OFFICER TAYLOR: Thank you, Amanda.
4	We will now hear from those of you who
5	indicated that you wanted to leave a comment here
6	tonight. Please clearly state your name for the
7	court reporters. If you have a copy of your
8	comments with you, please leave them with please
9	leave them with us when you've finished speaking.
10	I'll first call Charles Bayne.
11	MR. BAYNE: Thank you. I don't know how to make this a
12	comment only. So if you want to tell me to sit
13	down, go ahead. Are you planning on putting air
14	quality monitoring devices near that plant? I know
15	we have one out at Sandhills somewhere. So if you
16	could I'll I'll write this down also. But
17	I'm interested in the the air quality coming out
18	of the plant, and I couldn't understand a lot she
19	said because I I just don't hear well. What
20	and I and I want to know what chemicals are
21	going to be coming out of the plant in the form of,
22	I guess, smoke particulates. I'll write that down
23	for you.
24	And, lastly, do you have any control over

these guys burning these thousand piles of logs

25

1	they got out there? When they did it six months
2	ago, they choked us out, and those piles are still
3	sitting there. Nobody's made any effort to move
4	them off. That affects air quality. When I call
5	air quality about it, they say, "Well, we have
6	rules we follow, and those rules are the fire must
7	be at least 1,000 feet from the first nearest
8	house." That don't help the smoke blowing through
9	the air for 10 miles.
10	So those are my comments; I asked questions,
11	and I'll write them down for you. Thank you.
12	HEARING OFFICER TAYLOR: Thank you. Can you repeat your
13	name?
14	MR. BAYNE: Charles Bayne, B-a-y-n-e, 30-2-310(A)(1)(e)
15	, Blythewood.
16	HEARING OFFICER: I'll now call Roger Hovis. And please
17	state your name.
18	MR. HOVIS: Certainly. My name is Roger Hovis, resident
19	here, the Town of Blythewood. And I tried I
20	tried to go along with the table of contents you
21	guys had outlined. Let me the looks like
22	Paragraph 2-2-1 "Growth," the bottom line says,
23	"Therefore, the construction and modification of
24	the facility and any workforce associated,
25	residential and commercial growth is not expected

1	to cause or contribute to a quantifiable adverse
2	impact on local ambient air quality." My comment
3	is: I just wish how they arrived at that, that
4	there will be no quantifiable adverse impact on the
5	local air, if they could include that in the
6	permitting.
7	And also to kind of piggyback on Mr. Burns
8	(verbatim) Charles's comment about the adverse
9	effects a lot of folks in this area have been
10	enduring from the wood burning, which of course has
11	ceased now but and hopefully, it will not start
12	up again, is that that seems to me I've read
13	through the several thousand pages of the
14	permitting process to be addressed. Seems to me
15	that the permitting is for once the plant is up and
16	running. So what is there for us now to use as a
17	tool or to address the current construction that's
18	ongoing? Thank you.
19	HEARING OFFICER TAYLOR: Thank you. That concludes
20	everyone that signed up to make a comment. If
21	there's someone who has not signed and would like
22	to make a comment, please raise your hand at this
23	time. All right.
24	Again, please remember that there are still
25	options available to comment by written comments

directly to DHEC. 1 2 I will again just check to make sure that 3 there is not someone else who would like to make a comment here tonight. 4 5 In closing, on behalf of DHEC, I would Okay. like to thank everyone for coming here tonight and 6 7 giving your comments. Please note, once again, 8 written comments may be submitted to DHEC by October 18th of 2023. All written comments 9 received on or before this time will be considered 10 in the decision-making process. If you have 11 12 questions about submitting written comments, please see a DHEC staff member before you leave. 13 Following this public hearing and formal 14 15 comment period, DHEC will make a decision as to 16 whether the draft air permit will be approved, 17 disapproved, or modified. The decision will be based upon the review and consideration of -- of 18 19 applicable state and federal air quality 20 regulations, comments made at this hearing tonight in the form of a transcript, all other written 21 22 comments received within the required timeframe, and any other permittable information. 23 24 Once a final permit decision is made, DHEC 25 will provide a response to all comments received



pertaining to the air permit. 1 2 DHEC's Guide for our Board Review is as 3 We -- we will -- I'm sorry. We will be follows: 4 provided in our Response to Comments that 5 information, a comment document, as well as in our notification of the final permit decision. 6 These 7 are the procedures that must be followed if you --8 if a final review, otherwise known as an approval 9 is requested. My apologies. Let me reread that. I'm sorry. I don't have on my glasses. 10 The words 11 are running together here. There you go. 12 These are the procedures that must be followed in a final review, otherwise as an appeal, is 13 requested after the DHEC permit decision is made. 14 15 You have the right to request a final review of any 16 permit decision made by DHEC. The final review 17 request must be submitted in writing to DHEC Clerk of the Board, along with a filing fee in the amount 18 19 of \$100, within 15 days after the notice of DHEC 20 decision is made for this permit. In consideration of these requirements, if you 21 22 indicate on the sign-in sheet that you want to receive any future information about the Scout 23 24 Motor facility or if you have submitted comments 25 during the public comment period, you will receive

Ē

	1	notification of DHEC's decision by standard U.S.
	2	mail or by e-mail. If you wish to be notified of
	3	DHEC's decision by certified mail, please see a
	4	DHEC staff at the sign-in table before you leave.
	5	If you choose to make any certified mail request in
	6	writing, you are asked to do so by October 18th.
	7	As part of our goal to provide helpful
	8	information for future hearings and meetings, we
	9	would like your feedback on how the public hearing
	10	went using the brief survey provided at sign in.
	11	We value this important information and look
	12	forward to hearing from you.
	13	On behalf of DHEC, I would again like to thank
	14	you for your attendance and your comments here
	15	tonight. The time is now 6:20 p.m., and the
	16	hearing is officially adjourned. Thank you, and
	17	good night.
	18	(Whereupon, at 6:20 p.m., the
	19	meeting of the above-entitled matter
	20	was concluded)
	21	(*This transcript may contain quoted material.
	22	Such material is reproduced as read or quoted
	23	by the speaker.)
	24	(**Certificate accompanies sealed original
	25	only.)
11		

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

CERTIFICATE

Be it known that Miranda Brown took the foregoing proceeding and hereby attests:

that I was then and there a notary public in and for the State of South Carolina-at-large and that by virtue thereof I was duly authorized to administer an oath;

that the deponent/witness, if any, was first duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, concerning the matter in the controversy aforesaid;

that the foregoing transcript represents a true, accurate, and complete transcription of the testimony so given at the time and place aforesaid to the best of my skill and ability;

that I am neither a relative nor an employee of any of the parties hereto, nor of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor interested in the outcome of this action;

that, if a recording of an event was supplied by another party for purposes of transcription and I was not present during that event, the foregoing pages were transcribed to the best of my skill and ability; additionally, any identifications of speakers were provided to me by the party supplying the recording;

that, in the event of a nonappearance by the witness, the foregoing details for the nonappearance are accurate.

In witness thereof, I have hereunto affixed my signature and title.

Minanda Brain

Miranda Brown

Date: 10/16/2023 Notary public for South Carolina My commission expires January 20, 2033 \*Unless otherwise noted, this notary public administered the oath. Please refer to the transcript for any exceptions.

